



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/745,098 | 12/20/2000 | Carlos Orlando Vilacha Zanoni | 286765-00001 | 8858 |
| 75 | 90 02/28/2002 | | | |
| David C. Jenkins | | | EXAMINER | |
| Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC 600 Grant Street, 44th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 | | | NEWHOUSE, NATHAN JEFFREY | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3727 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/28/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | |
|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| • | 09/745,098 | VILACHA ZANONI ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Nathan J. Newhouse | 3727 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a RBANDONE | nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th | nis action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application | ٦. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 July 2001</u> is/are: a) | \square accepted or b) $igotimes$ objected to by ${f t}$ | he Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | oved by the Examiner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | • | • |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| e) (to a provisional application). |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |



Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Venezuela on 12/10/99. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Venezuelan application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

2. Figures 1A-1C should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art – because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Leenaards '594.

Leenaards teaches a crown closure with a top 12, curved portion 15, skirt 13 and a plurality of serrations 14 that are located below the curved portion. Leenaards teaches the curved portion follows the contour of the bottle mouth. See col. 2, lines 8-



Art Unit: 3727

17. Leenaards further teaches that the diameter of the closure is between 20-40mm and the curved portion has a radius of 2-5mm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leenaards '594 in view of Ferngren '056.

Leenaards teaches everything except for the bottle mouth having an upper and lower portion with a fulcrum therebetween.

Ferngren teaches a similar bottle and closure wherein the bottle mouth has an upper and lower portion with a fulcrum therebetween. See figure 1. This arrangement improves the seal between the closure and bottle neck. See page 2, right column, lines 5-17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the bottle neck of Leenaards with an upper portion and lower portion with a fulcrum therebetween as taught by Ferngren to improve the seal between the closure and bottle.

7. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leenaards '594 in view of Myer'098.

Leenaards teaches everything except for the method of forming the crown closure by using a die press to form the closures from metal sheets.



Art Unit: 3727

Myer teaches a known method of forming crown closures from metal sheets using a die press. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the crown closure of Leenaards by the known method taught by Myer.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Strong teaches a bottle mouth with upper and lower portions and a fulcrum similar to what applicant is claiming.

Brockett, Jacques, Painter, Henning, Ichinose et al., Moller, Punte, Gavin, and Elder teach similar crown closures to what applicant is claiming.

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, email CustomerService3700@uspto.gov.



Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning the merits of the examination of the application from the examiner should be directed to Nathan J. Newhouse whose telephone number is (703)-308-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on (703)-308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9302 for regular communications and (703)-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1148.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication (703) 305-8322
Assignment Branch (703) 308-9287
Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
Drawing Corrections/Draftsman
Fee Increase Questions (703) 305-8404/8335
Intellectual Property Questions
Petitions/Special Programs (703) 305-9282

Terminal Disclaimers (703) 305-8408
Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

Nathan J. Newhouse Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

February 25, 2002

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or carlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within-the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1/136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Dransperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.